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Pro-Choice Slave Masters Losing War

by Matt Barber

*The pro-aborts are losing. They know it, and they hate it.*

Matt, abortion is legal. You lost the war in 1973. The proof that it is you people who 'hate it' is in the fact that you have to resort to violence and murder in order to discourage law-abiding citizens from exercising their legal rights.

*As LifeNews.com reported in January: “CNN released the results of a new poll showing a majority of Americans want all or most abortions prohibited – a clear pro-life majority.”*

Matt, LifeNews seriously misled everyone with its biased reporting. The actual poll results showed quite a different story. The fact that 'you people' have to distort the facts, proves that you are getting ever more desperate. The poll reported that people who believe all abortions should be allowed rose to 35%, 10 points higher than the previous year. People who believe abortion should never be allowed dropped 6 points to only 15%. What do the other 50% think? You know, the ones that you tried to lump in with the pro-lifers? They said that abortion should be allowed in certain situations (e.g., rape, incest, danger to the mother's health, etc.).

*Indeed, the winds of life are blowing free the foul stench of a pro-abortion culture of death.*

Matt, not in this country. Perhaps you're referring to Kenya?

*This is why President Obama and his fellow pro-abort zealot, HHS Secretary Kathleen Sebelius, have unilaterally, arbitrarily and unconstitutionally forced, through Obamacare, every taxpaying American citizen to fund “free” abortion-on-demand.*

Well Matt, now you're just making yourself a sitting duck with blatant, easily-disproven lies. Obamacare was not unilateral; it was passed by Congress. Obamacare was not unconstitutional; maybe you missed that Supreme Court decision while you were vacationing in Kenya? And there is no such thing as abortion on demand. You are simply lying.

Come on Matt. You used to be a boxer. Keep your guard up. You are making this waaay too easy.

*This draconian overreach is in perfect keeping with the 2012 DNC platform, which, for the first time, admits without shame: “The Democratic Party strongly and unequivocally supports Roe v. Wade and a woman’s right to … abortion, regardless of ability to pay.”*

Matt, the real shame in this battle belongs to those who murder doctors who are performing legal medical procedures. Those would be the people on your side, Matt.

*Psalm 8:28 commands: “Defend the weak and the fatherless; uphold the cause of the poor and the oppressed.”*

Matt, you tried to use scripture to defend a fetus, but you quoted a verse that referred to the fatherless. How could you make such a boneheaded mistake? It sounds like you may have taken, one too many to the head, dude.

That verse also tells you to help the poor, yet your Republican party does everything in its power to help the rich ... at the expense of the poor.

Matt, some advice: next time, don't be so lazy. Find a verse, which at least, doesn't contradict your positions.

*To be sure, there can be none more oppressed than the tens of millions who, over four short decades, have been – and will continue to be – slaughtered within the safe haven of their own mothers’ wombs.*

Matt, how come you don't have a problem with your God ordering His goons to rip the fetuses out of their wombs and run swords through them?

I see a double standard there. I guess murdering fetuses is okay with you, as long as the killers receive their orders from your murderous, butchering ghost.

*With its 1973 Roe decision, the U.S. Supreme Court put the government’s official stamp of approval on mass murder.*

Matt, you need to look up the definition of murder. It doesn't apply to unviable fetuses. But the story I just gave you from the Bible ... now THAT was mass murder; because they couldn't shish kabob the fetuses, without killing their mothers as well.

*Since then, the battle lines have been drawn. This is war.*

Matt, 'you people' turned your legal defeat into a war when you started killing doctors and bombing clinics. Let me guess, Jesus is on vacation? In Kenya?

*They, “pro-choicers,” are the bad guys, while pro-lifers are the good guys.*

Matt, now you're writing like a little kid, which for you, is actually a step up.

*It really is that simple – that black and white. It’s good versus evil.*

Matt, it is always that simple with you religious freaks. That's how you rally all the other religious freaks: you have to keep it simple.

*History will reflect as much.*

Matt, if you people are so good at predicting, how come none of you predicted Roe vs. Wade?

*To the unenthusiastic mother, politically motivated abortion violence is deviously portrayed as an acceptable escape from what may seem a desperate situation. To the innocent child, it is – without fail and without due process – execution by torture.*

Matt, now you're demonstrating the biological ignorance that is rampant in nearly all Ghost Worshippers.

*Consider the horrific practice of Partial-Birth Abortion, innocuously tagged “Intact Dilation and Extraction.” This is a practice so brutal and so needless that even the liberal American Medical Association (AMA) admitted that it is never necessary under any circumstances.*

*During a partial-birth abortion, the abortionist pulls a fully “viable” child – often kicking and thrashing – feet first from her mother’s womb, leaving only the top of her head in the birth canal. This is so the abortionist can technically claim to be performing an abortion, rather than committing murder.*

*He then stabs the child through the base of her skull with scissors, piercing her brain until her kicking and moving about suddenly and violently jerks to a halt. Next, he opens the scissors to enlarge the wound, inserts a vacuum tube and sucks out her brains, thereby collapsing her skull.*

*Her now limp and lifeless body is then cast away like so much garbage.*

*Appalling, isn’t it? Infanticide by any objective measure.*

*So, naturally, Mr. Obama, reasonable fellow that he is, agrees with the AMA, correct? He and other “pro-choicers” were the first to applaud the high court when it upheld a ban on this Hitlerian practice, right? Wrong.*

Matt, what does Hitler have to do with partial birth abortions?

Or are you just blatantly playing the Hitler card because that's what people often do, when the debate isn't going their way?

*Barack Obama unbelievably called the Court’s decision in Gonzales v. Carhart part of a concerted effort “to steadily roll back the hard-won rights of American women.” In so doing, he revealed to the world that leftist support for abortion “rights” has everything to do with politics and nothing to do with science or “health care.”*

Matt, your side never bases its opinions on science, but only on biblically motivated politics, so you are simply accusing your opponents of the same thing ... that you are guilty of.

*Moreover, consider Mr. Obama’s opposition to the “Born Alive Infant Protection Act.” It passed both houses of Congress in 2002 with overwhelming bipartisan support. Born Alive very simply requires that when a baby survives an attempted abortion – when she is “born alive” – further attempts to kill her must immediately cease, and steps must be taken to save her life.*

*Yet, incredibly, this president, while serving in the Illinois Senate, vehemently opposed the bill’s Illinois twin. He complained that requiring efforts to save the live victim of a botched abortion is “really designed simply to burden the original decision of the woman and the physician to induce labor and perform an abortion.”*

*Barack Obama’s solution? Finish off the little pest.*

*So prepare for Obama and other pro-aborts to go utterly berserk now that Arkansas has passed the Human Heartbeat Protection Act. It requires that when an abortion is performed at or after the 12th week, doctors must test for a fetal heartbeat before an abortion is performed. If a heartbeat is detected, a woman cannot have an abortion, except in cases of rape, incest, or if a mother’s life is in danger.*

Matt, Arkansas; why am I not surprised?

They would reinstitute slavery in a heartbeat if they thought they could get away with it.

*This is common-sense stuff. The human heartbeat has long been indisputable proof of life both within and without the womb.*

Matt, it takes a lot more than a clump of cells pumping blood to make a fetus viable.

Terri Schiavo's body was kept alive by science long after her brain had died and withered away. A beating heart is not the sole criteria by which life is defined.

*Still, and not surprisingly, even as the state legislature was overriding the Democratic governor’s veto of the new law – SB 134 – the ACLU and other pro-abort radicals were vowing to challenge it in court.*

Matt, when Bible Belt states pass unconstitutional laws (which is not all that uncommon) you can expect that Americans will take them to court and force them to obey our laws. That's the price they must pay for losing the Civil War ... submission to civilization.

*Mathew Staver, founder and chairman of Liberty Counsel, has vowed to preserve it: “If asked, Liberty Counsel will defend this law without reservation, free of charge for the people of Arkansas, born and unborn.”*

Matt, well good for Staver. It's nice to see that's he's willing to work for free. Kind of restores my faith in the legal system.

*“In keeping with medical advances, history and common sense, the Arkansas legislature has said that the life of a 12-week-old unborn child with a detectable heartbeat is protected under the law.”*

Matt, and in keeping with Supreme Court Law, Arkansas will now have to prove its case before them; and they might not see it quite the same way that you do.

*And well it should be. SB 134 is just the beginning. Brave lawmakers in Arkansas have provided the template for other states to follow.*

Matt, I would have phrased that a little differently. Something like, "Ignorant Bible-thumping politicians in Arkansas have once again entered into a battle to keep the deep south in the Middle Ages as long as possible, before the rest of the country drags them out, kicking and screaming.

*They’re on the right side of history.*

Matt, instead of annoying us with your inane predictions, why don't you just open up a psychic hotline?

*Indeed, history has a way of repeating itself.*

Matt, what that means is that if you people try to secede again, you'll get another ass-whoopin' just as bad as the last one. Last time you lost your slaves. This time you might not be as lucky.

*The Roe decision was not the first time the U.S. Supreme Court has so disgraced our nation.*

Matt, you finally got one right. The biggest disgrace came long before: it was judicial support for the biblically-approved institution of slavery.

*Roe v. Wade represents the twin bookend to the Court’s shameful 1857 Dred Scott decision. In Dred Scott the Court absurdly held that African-American slaves, even if emancipated, were not fully persons and therefore could never be considered U.S. citizens.*

Matt, and they justified their position ... with the Bible.

*Likewise, Roe v. Wade ruled that children in gestation are not fully persons and are therefore not entitled to their most basic civil right: life.*

Matt, see what religion has done to your brain? You are seriously trying to compare adult slaves to clumps of cells, and claiming they have equal rights. If you ever did take a biology class in your entire life, your teacher should be hunted down and executed.

*As with Dred Scott, Roe’s fate, I believe, is certain. It’s just a matter of time.*

Matt, kind of like Jesus, huh? 2,000 years now, isn't it? Yeah ... just a matter of time.

*History will eventually judge Roe v. Wade every bit as harshly as Dred Scott.*

Matt, only if 'you people' are successful at returning us to the Middle Ages. Unfortunately for you, every poll worldwide shows that your ancient death cult is crashing. Islam is projected to pass Christianity this century. The century after that ... my money is on Scientology.

After all, Scientology has Tom Cruise, while Christianity ... has you.

*Call yourself “pro-choice”? Shame on you.*

Really Matt - "Shame on you?"

Matt Barber: body of a man ... mind of a child.

*You’re no better than a modern-day slave master.*

Matt, forget the analogies. You are terrible at it. Slavery and abortion don't have the similarities you seem to think they have.

*Dump the garbage and join the right side of history. There’s plenty of room over here.*

Matt, you're right - there is plenty of room on your side. As the CNN poll proved, when read without the LifeNews bias, in the last year alone, there has been a whole lot more empty room ... on your side.

*http://townhall.com/columnists/mattbarber/2013/03/18/prochoice-slave-masters-losing-war-n1537010/page/full/*
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THE SCIENCE SEGMENT

Seeing starfish: The missing link in eye evolution?

A study has shown for the first time that starfish use primitive eyes at the tip of their arms to visually navigate their environment. Research showed that starfish eyes are image-forming and could be an essential stage in eye evolution.

The researchers removed starfish, with and without eyes, from their food rich habitat, the coral reef, and placed them on the sand bottom one meter away, where they would starve. They monitored the starfishes' behavior from the surface and found that while starfish with intact eyes head towards the direction of the reef, starfish without eyes walk randomly.

The results show that the starfish nervous system must be able to process visual information, which points to a clear underestimation of the capacity found in the circular and somewhat dispersed central nervous system of echinoderms.

Analyzing the morphology of the photoreceptors in the starfish eyes the researchers further confirmed that they constitute an intermediate state between the two large known groups of rhabdomeric and ciliary photoreceptors, in that they have both microvilli and a modified cilium.

From an evolutionary point of view it is interesting because the morphology of the starfish eyes along with their optical quality (quality of the image) is close to the theoretical eye early in eye evolution when image formation first appeared. In this way it can help clarify what the first visual tasks were that drove this important step in eye evolution, namely navigation towards the preferred habitat using large stationary objects (in this case, the reef).

Most known starfish species possess a compound eye at the tip of each arm, which, except for the lack of true optics, resembles an arthropod compound eye. Despite being known for about two centuries, no visually guided behavior has ever been documented before.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

FAMOUS QUOTES

Stephen Hawking (previously quoted; so no biography)

"I have noticed even people who claim everything is predestined,

and that we can do nothing to change it,

look before they cross the road."